# Dictionaries, Tuples, Hashing

6.1000 LECTURE 7

FALL 2025

#### **Storing associated data**

- E.g., registrar stores term records of each student's living group and course registration
- One strategy: parallel lists

```
o names = [name1, name2, name3]
addresses = [address1, address2, address3]
classes = [classes1, classes2, classes3]
```

Another strategy: nested lists

- Disadvantages
  - access by index is hard to read
  - o indexing is error-prone, need to keep lists synchronized

2

#### **Another way: Python dictionaries**

- Use student names as direct "indicies"/selectors into data
- Parallel dict strategy ∘ addresses = { name1: address1, name2: address2, name3: address3 classes = { name1: classes1, name2: classes2, name3: classes3 ∘ addresses[name1] → address1 Nested dict strategy ∘ records = { name1: {"address": address1, "classes": classes1}, name2: {"address": address2, "classes": classes2}, name3: {"address": address3, "classes": classes3}, ∘ records[name1]["address"] → address1

## Python dict overview

- A mapping type from keys to values
  - https://docs.python.org/3/library/stdtypes.html#mappingtypes-dict
- Square bracket syntax connotes analogy to list indexing
  - lists have implicit sequential indicies
  - dicts have explicit key labels
- Keys can be (almost) any Python object
  - so ordering no longer makes sense
- dict objects in memory are conceptually two-column tables
  - left column contains references to keys
  - right column contains references to values associated with those keys
  - like lists, no objects stored in dicts

## dict mutating operations

- add or update key-value pair
  - ∘ *dict*[key] = value
- delete key-value pair
  - ∘ del *dict*[key]
  - ∘ dict.pop(key, default)
- merge with other dictionaries
  - ∘ *dict* | other
  - ∘ *dict* |= other
  - ∘ dict.update(other)

## dict operations

∘ dict[key] → value

∘ dict.get(key, default) → value

object creation • {} is empty **dict** • {key1: val1, key2: val2} is dict literal dict() constructor copies any dict passed in or creates new dict from sequence of [key, value] pairs ∘ len(*dict*) o dict.copy() o dict.clear() key and value retrieval ∘ key in *dict* 

• if **key** not in **dict**, returns **default** instead of raising **KeyError** 

## Immutability of dict keys

- dict keys must be hashable
  - for Python's built-in types, hashable basically means immutable
- When you associate a key with a value:
  - expect to be able to retrieve the value by looking up with an equivalent (==) key, no matter how it was constructed
  - if keys are mutable (e.g., lists), code that runs after a key mutation may be unaware that the key has changed

## Common types for dict keys

- ints
  - bools are really ints underneath the hood, so avoid those
- floats are a bad idea
  - mathematically equivalent expressions may not yield equivalent floats
- strs are a great idea
  - natural labels
  - this is one reason why Python's str are immutable
- tuples are also good
  - tuples are just like lists, but immutable
  - for a tuple to be hashable, all its nested contents must also be immutable

#### Iterating over dicts

- No inherent ordering of dict keys, unlike list indicies
  - but still wish to retrieve all elements
  - nature of code/time means have to do so sequentially
- Python's for directly iterates over dict keys
  - o for key in dict:
     value = dict[key]
  - list(dict) will product a list of dict's keys
- Can also iterate over dictionary views
  - ∘ dict.keys()
  - o dict.values()
  - ∘ dict.items() → produces (key, value) tuples

# **Example: word frequencies in song lyrics**

- Study code on your own
- Note how dicts are being created, updated, iterated over, deleted from

## List indexing and direct addressing

- List elements are contiguous in memory
  - each cell, being a reference to another location in memory, is a fixed size
  - so if you know where index 0 is, can immediately calculate where to look up index i very
    - don't need to traverse list sequentially from start to index i
- However, membership test requires sequential traversal
- Another storage scheme: direct addressing
  - let the list index represent the actual data
    - because any object's bit representation can be interpreted as an int
  - when putting object in list, store a **True** flag at the index that represents the object
  - theoretically possible, impractical due to insane memory space

#### **Hashing and dictionaries**

- Idea: translate each objects into a smaller address space
  - magic translation through a mathematical hash function
- As long as number of objects is still smaller than number of addresses, underlying list has enough spaces to store pointers to all objects
  - Note: order is unlikely to be preserved
- Issue: sometimes, hash function will map two objects to the same index
  - called a collision
  - Fix: store objects in secondary lists called chains at each index in the underlying list
- Result: hash tables have the performance of direct addressing when determining membership
  - Python dicts are just hash tables for keys, with values stored next to each key